

DRAFT

Minutes

GENERAL PLAN UPDATE – REFINEMENT GROUP

Thursday, May 27, 2004

12:00 – 5:00 PM

At the Monterey County Association of Realtors office
201-A Calle Del Oaks, Del Rey Oaks

Those present: Mike Caplin, Kurt Gollnick, Alfred Diaz-Infante, Sheryl McKenzie, Nancy Isakson, Brian Finegan, Tom Carvey, Jay Brown, Aaron Johnson, Chris Bunn Sr., Bob Perkins, Gwen Miller, and Juan Uranga

Visitors: Rick Smith

- I) Public Comment Period (Public Comment for issues not on the agenda)
Public comment: none
- II) Approval of agenda for May 27, 2004
Public comment: none
Approved as e-mailed
- III) Approval of minutes from May 20, 2004
Public comment: none
Approved as e-mailed
- IV) Definitions section
Public comment: none
The group began work in the “L” definitions, “Landmark Trees.” Mike Caplin tracked the changes to this section.

Landmark Trees:

Discussion of comparison of ER-2.11 and 2.12 and 2.13 with the definition section. County shall encourage the retention of living, healthy, landmark trees as defined in 2.12. In 2.12, there is a list of trees that require a permit to remove. There is no definition of “protected tree” or “native tree” in GPU3. Current procedure: the only landmark trees are oak trees. Other trees are “protected” but are not “landmark trees.” The group defined landmark trees as: native oak trees having a trunk diameter more than 24” measured....etc.

Discussion of ER-2.12. Mike: “The County shall encourage the retention of living, healthy landmark native oak trees.” Landmark trees shall be identified as having a diameter over 24” measured....etc. “Landmark Trees” (ER-2.13). Planted trees shall not be considered as landmark trees except for those planted as a mitigation measure. Jay Brown asked that we put in a footnote that this is current policy. Leave the last sentence in the definition.

Landslide: as per subcommittee recommendation

Lateral Access: as per subcommittee recommendation

LCP: delete LDN, a measurement scale that does not appear in the GPU.

Leapfrog development: this term is not used in GPU3. As per subcommittee recommendation

Least cost: not used in GPU3. As per subcommittee recommendation

LAQ: method of measuring noise, not used in plan. As per subcommittee recommendation

Level of Service (LOS): As per subcommittee recommendation.

Level of Service, Road: Mike Caplin suggested that we define LOS as what is defined in the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual which generally characterizes the performance of a road or intersections. It was decided to include this wording.

Level of Service Standards: CEQA says that the local area can determine their own level of significance. Brian Finegan said that it's important to define this Level of Service. Sent back to subcommittee for review.

Liquefaction: as per subcommittee recommendation. GPU3 has a liquefaction map. There is a mapping issue. Chris Bunn: 2nd sentence is policy and should be deleted. As per subcommittee recommendation with today's changes.

LAFCO: as per subcommittee recommendation

Local Coastal Program: as per subcommittee recommendation, but strike 4) ~~within sensitive....~~,

Lot: As per subcommittee recommendation.

Lot line adjustment: As per subcommittee recommendation

Lot merger: As per subcommittee recommendation

Low income household: added by RG subcommittee. As per subcommittee recommendation

Major Land Groups: as per subcommittee recommendation

Manufactured Home or Mobile Home: as per subcommittee recommendation. Broken into 2 separate definitions.

Manufactured home: added by subcommittee

Maritime chaparral: Mike Caplin will bring language for June 3, 2004 meeting.

Master Drainage Plan for Carmel Valley: Referred back to subcommittee.

Master Environmental Impact Report: as per subcommittee recommendation

Maximum contaminant level (MCL): as per subcommittee recommendation

Measure “A”: not used in GPU3 as per subcommittee recommendation

Military Installation: as per subcommittee recommendation

Military Readiness Activities: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mine lands: added by subcommittee. Not used in the plans, but useful for guidance.

Mineral development: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mineral extraction operation: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mineral resource zones: subcommittee took the definition from the Division of Mines.

Ministerial permit: as per subcommittee recommendation

Minor subdivision: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mills Act: not used in GPU3. as per subcommittee recommendation

Mitigation: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mitigated negative declaration: as per subcommittee recommendation, directly from CEQA guidelines.

Mixed-use development: subcommittee recommended no change. as per subcommittee recommendation

Mobile home: added by subcommittee: also see “manufactured home.” as per subcommittee recommendation

Mobile home park: as per subcommittee recommendation

Mode: as per subcommittee recommendation.

Moderate income household: added by subcommittee.

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD): as per subcommittee recommendation

Monterey County Historical Society (MCHS): as per subcommittee recommendation

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD): as per subcommittee recommendation

Multi-agency weed task force: as per subcommittee recommendation

Multi-modal: as per subcommittee recommendation

Multi-family residential uses: not used in GPU3

Mutual water company: as per subcommittee recommendation
The group ended work on the definitions section at this point.

- V) Health and Safety Element
Public comment: none
- VI) Reports from sub-committees
 - A) Finished Elements:
 - i) Land Use
 - ii) Ag
 - (1) Discussion of RG Ag Commission recommendations
 - iii) ERME
 - iv) Circulation
 - B) Elements in process:
 - i) Public Service: Mike Caplin distributed copies of a handout entitled “RG Public Services policies after review by RG, 5/26/04.” Mike led the group through the handout.
PS-1.5 Cost Sharing: use bullets or letters instead of the long last sentence.
Otherwise, as per subcommittee recommendation
PS-1.6: Documentation of Sewage Treatment and Water: change the language to “a will-serve letter” instead of “by letter.” Otherwise as per subcommittee recommendation
PS-5.1: Drainage: as per subcommittee recommendation
PS-10.6: Park Acquisition Priorities: as per subcommittee recommendation
PS-1: as per subcommittee recommendation
 - ii) Definitions
 - iii) Health and Safety
 - (1) Noise: Tom Carvey and Brian Finegan
 - (2) Air quality: Jay Brown, Pete Scudder, Gwen Miller, and Brad Sullivan.
 - (3) Emergency Management and Response to Man-made Hazards: Jay Brown, Christine Kemp and Chris Bunn
Jay led the group through this revised document, which is a revision of the previous subcommittee work.
Policy HS-1: Fire Hazards: as per subcommittee recommendation
HS-1.1; 1.2 no changes
HS-1.3: as per subcommittee recommendation
HS-1.4: as per subcommittee recommendation

HS-1.5: Emergency service levels:

- a. Gwen read changes from her notes for this section. Strike the middle part of this section
- b. Nancy Isakson and Gwen Miller read from their notes about this section.

Jay Brown tracked changes as Nancy and Gwen read them.

HS-1.6: Emergency Access: change “shall” to “may.” Some things seem to be missing from the 5/13/04 draft.

HS-1.7: Critical Facilities: as per subcommittee recommendation

HS-1.8: Roads, Facilities and Utilities: as per subcommittee recommendation. Discussion about whether any private driveway should have to comply with seismic standards. Strike last sentence.

(4) Fire safety: Mike Caplin and Kurt Gollnick

A handout, entitled, “RG Health and Safety Element, Goal 3/Fire after review by RG 5/26/04” was handed out.

(5) Water hazards: Sheryl McKenzie, Nancy Isakson, Pete Scudder and Brad Sullivan.

(6) Geologic hazards: Aaron Johnson and Bob Perkins.

Aaron distributed a handout titled “HS-4: Geologic Hazards.” The state geologist is responsible for mapping geologic hazards, and maps are then made available to county for review and suggestions, which are then sent back to mining and geology board for approval. The maps in the GPU should go through the same process before we approve them. There is no indication that the existing maps in GPU3 have been approved by the Mining and Geology Board. Moved and carried to leave the title of the maps required by OPR, and add a comment that these maps need to be done according to the process required by law.

Aaron tracked the changes.

HS-4.2: Geologic Hazard Maps: Delete the last paragraph, “Site-specific geologic studies...etc.”

Text box on first page: the state’s requirement for earthquake fault zones. 500’ on each side of the San Andreas fault. as per subcommittee recommendation with today’s changes.

HS-4.3: as per subcommittee recommendation

HS-4.4: discussion of the San Andreas fault.

C) Other items:

D) Update of GPU process: Follow up on GPU planning and how to proceed with the RG work product

- (1) Because we are making changes to existing elements, any changes we’re making should also be noted on the web site. The elements on the web site should have revision numbers.

VII) Agenda building for next meeting:

Add a report on the Economic Impact Analysis.

VIII) Adjournment to meeting of Thursday, June 3, 2004, 12:00 to 5:00 PM, location to be announced.